



**Brigham and Women's Hospital**

Founding Member, Mass General Brigham

## **Update in Hospital Medicine**

Christopher Roy, MD

Chief of BWH Hospital Medicine Unit

Division of General Medicine Department of Medicine

Brigham and Women's Hospital

Assistant Professor of Medicine

Harvard Medical School



## Christopher Roy, MD



Harvard Medical School  
Medicine Residency at Brigham & Women's Hospital  
Assistant Professor of Medicine at HMS  
Chief of Hospital Medicine, BWH

# DISCLOSURES

**I have no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.**



# OBJECTIVES

- Review evidence and recent guidelines for fluid management in sepsis
- Review data on initiation of SGLT2 inhibitors in acute HF
- Know what to do with GLP-1 RAs preoperatively
- Discuss anticoagulation/antiplatelet management in patients with stable CAD
- Review paradigm shift in treatment of inpatient hypertension
- Understand use of CRP to guide corticosteroids in CAP
- Learn what to do with incidental CAC seen on chest CT



# Case 1

A 68-year-old woman presents to the ED with fever (39.2°C), tachycardia (HR 112), BP 82/48 mmHg, and lactate 4.8 mmol/L. She has a history of CHF (EF 35%) and CKD stage 3. Urinalysis shows leukocytosis and bacteriuria.

She has received 1 L of 0.9% normal saline in the ambulance.



# Case 1

Which of the following is the best next step in fluid resuscitation for this patient?

- A. Continue 0.9% normal saline and complete a 30 mL/kg bolus
- B. Switch to lactated Ringer's solution for ongoing resuscitation
- C. Administer 5% albumin 500 mL bolus
- D. Administer 6% hydroxyethyl starch 500 mL bolus
- E. Withhold further fluids and start norepinephrine



# Case 1

Which of the following is the BEST next step in fluid resuscitation for this patient?

- A. Continue 0.9% normal saline and give 30 mL/kg bolus
- B. Switch to lactated Ringer's solution for ongoing resuscitation**
- C. Administer 5% albumin 500 mL bolus
- D. Administer 6% hydroxyethyl starch 500 mL bolus
- E. Withhold further fluids and start norepinephrine



# Evidence of Mortality Benefit for Balanced Crystalloids

**SMART trial secondary analysis (2019):** 30-day mortality among sepsis patients 26.3% (balanced) vs. 31.2% (saline),  $P=0.01$

**CLOVERS trial secondary analysis (2025):** LR vs. NS — adjusted HR for death 0.71 (95% CI 0.51–0.99)

**Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) 2026 Guidelines:** balanced crystalloids over 0.9% saline for sepsis resuscitation (conditional recommendation, moderate certainty)

-Exception: Traumatic brain injury → use 0.9% saline

-Starches (choice D) are strongly recommended against due to harm (AKI, mortality)

Brown et al Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019 ; Gelbenegger et al, Crit Care Med 2025; SSC 2026 Guidelines (Crit Care Med 2026); Meyer Prescott, NEJM 2024





# Restrictive vs Liberal Fluid Resuscitation

**No significant mortality difference between restrictive and liberal strategies.**

U shaped curve for under vs over resuscitation

- CLASSIC (n=1,554, septic shock): 90-day mortality 42.3% vs. 42.1% (P=0.46)
- CLOVERS (n=1,563, sepsis-induced hypotension): 90-day mortality 14.0% vs. 14.9% (P=0.61)
- Nearly all patients in both trials received  $\geq 30$  mL/kg — do not withhold initial resuscitation
- SSC 2026: Continue to suggest  $\geq 30$  mL/kg in first 3 hours; use adjusted body weight for BMI 30; reassess frequently

SSC 2026 Guidelines (Crit Care Med 2026); Meyer Prescott, NEJM 2024



# Bottom Line: Fluid Resuscitation in Sepsis

Use balanced crystalloids (e.g., lactated Ringer's) over normal saline

Give  $\geq 30$  mL/kg in the first 3 hours; use adjusted body weight if BMI  $> 30$

Reassess frequently — neither "restrictive" nor "liberal" is clearly superior

Start norepinephrine early if hypotension persists — don't delay for more fluid

Exception: Don't use balanced crystalloids in traumatic brain injury (use 0.9% saline)



## Case 2

A 72-year-old man with known HFrEF (EF 30%) on lisinopril and metoprolol presents with worsening dyspnea, orthopnea, and 8 kg weight gain over 2 weeks. BP 108/68, HR 88, SpO2 92% on RA. BNP 2,400 pg/mL. He is started on IV furosemide.



## Case 2

On hospital day 2, the patient is hemodynamically stable (SBP 106, no inotropes, no IV diuretic dose increase in 8 hours). Which of the following is the MOST appropriate next step?

- A. Discharge the patient and start empagliflozin at the first outpatient visit
- B. Start empagliflozin 10 mg daily now, while still hospitalized
- C. Wait until the patient is euvolemic before starting empagliflozin
- D. Start empagliflozin only if the patient has diabetes
- E. Defer SGLT2 inhibitor initiation because eGFR is 38 mL/min



## Case 2

On hospital day 2, the patient is hemodynamically stable (SBP 106, no inotropes, no IV diuretic dose increase in 8 hours). Which of the following is the MOST appropriate next step?

- A. Discharge the patient and start empagliflozin at the first outpatient visit
- B. Start empagliflozin 10 mg daily now, while still hospitalized**
- C. Wait until the patient is euvolemic before starting empagliflozin
- D. Start empagliflozin only if the patient has diabetes
- E. Defer SGLT2 inhibitor initiation because eGFR is 38 mL/min



# Starting SGLT2 inhibitor in hospital

**EMPULSE trial (n=530):** In-hospital empagliflozin initiation → stratified win ratio 1.36 (95% CI 1.09–1.68; P=0.005)

Benefit regardless of EF or diabetes status

Greater decongestion: weight loss –1.97 kg at day 15 vs. placebo

Fewer serious adverse events in empagliflozin group (32.3% vs. 43.6%)

**2024 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway:** SGLT2 inhibitors should be initiated during hospitalization once clinically stable

Stability criteria: SBP  $\geq 100$ , no inotropes  $\times 24$  hr, no IV diuretic increase  $\times 6$  hr

Voors et al., Nat Med 2022 (EMPULSE); 2024 ACC ECDP (JACC 2024)



# 4 Pillars of GDMT for HFrEF

1. ARNI (or ACEi/ARB if ARNI not tolerated)
  2. Beta-blocker
  3. MRA (e.g., spironolactone)
  4. SGLT2 inhibitor (e.g., empagliflozin, dapagliflozin) eGFR cutoff for HF=20 ml/min
- 2024 ACC ECDP emphasizes establishing all 4 pillars during hospitalization when possible
  - Loop diuretics for symptom management, not disease-modifying therapy

Voors et al., Nat Med 2022 (EMPULSE); 2024 ACC ECDP (JACC 2024)



# Bottom Line: Inpatient Management of HFrEF

Start SGLT2 inhibitors in-hospital once clinically stable — don't wait for outpatient follow-up

Benefit is independent of EF and diabetes status

Stability criteria: SBP  $\geq 100$ , no inotropes  $\times 24$  hr, no IV diuretic increase  $\times 6$  hr. eGFR  $> 20$

Aim to establish all 4 pillars of GDMT before discharge

In-hospital initiation improves long-term adherence





## Case 3

A 58-year-old woman with T2DM (A1c 8.5%), BMI 42, and OSA is admitted for elective bariatric surgery. She is currently on tirzepatide 10 mg weekly (last dose 5 days ago). You are consulted for perioperative management.



## Case 3

What is the MOST appropriate perioperative management of this patient's tirzepatide?

- A. Continue tirzepatide as scheduled — no perioperative risk
- B. Hold tirzepatide for 24 hours before surgery
- C. Hold tirzepatide for at least 1 week before elective surgery requiring general anesthesia
- D. Switch to a daily GLP-1 RA formulation the day before surgery
- E. Administer tirzepatide immediately postoperatively to prevent hyperglycemia



## Case 3

What is the MOST appropriate perioperative management of this patient's tirzepatide?

- A. Continue tirzepatide as scheduled — no perioperative risk
- B. Hold tirzepatide for 24 hours before surgery
- C. Hold tirzepatide for at least 1 week before elective surgery requiring general anesthesia**
- D. Switch to a daily GLP-1 RA formulation the day before surgery
- E. Administer tirzepatide immediately postoperatively to prevent hyperglycemia



# Key Issues with GLP-1 RA before surgery

GLP-1 RAs delay gastric emptying → increased aspiration risk under anesthesia

- ASA 2023 Guidance:
  - Daily formulations: hold day of procedure
  - Weekly formulations: hold for 1 week prior
  - Consider holding longer with higher doses or significant GI symptoms
  - Don't restart until patient is tolerating oral intake postoperatively

ASA 2023 Consensus Guidance; AACE 2025 Obesity Consensus Statement



## Case 4

A 76-year-old man with atrial fibrillation (on apixaban 5 mg BID), hypertension, diabetes, and stable CAD (DES placed 2 years ago, no recent ACS) is admitted for elective hernia repair. His medication list includes apixaban, aspirin 81 mg daily, metoprolol, atorvastatin, and metformin. You are consulted by his surgical team for medical management.



## Case 4

Based on current evidence, which of the following is the most appropriate long-term antithrombotic strategy for this patient with AF and CAD (>1 year post-DES)?

- A. Continue apixaban + aspirin 81 mg indefinitely (dual pathway therapy)
- B. Continue apixaban and change ASA to clopidogrel 75 mg
- C. Discontinue apixaban and continue aspirin alone
- D. Discontinue aspirin and continue apixaban monotherapy



## Case 4

Based on current evidence, which of the following is the MOST appropriate long-term antithrombotic strategy for this patient with AF and CAD (>1 year post-DES)?

- A. Continue apixaban + aspirin 81 mg indefinitely (dual pathway therapy)
- B. Continue apixaban and change ASA to clopidogrel 75 mg
- C. Discontinue apixaban and continue aspirin alone
- D. Discontinue aspirin and continue apixaban monotherapy**



# Stopping ASA in Stable CAD on DOAC

Key evidence from 2 landmark RCTs:

- AFIRE (NEJM 2019): Rivaroxaban monotherapy noninferior to dual therapy for efficacy (HR 0.72) and superior for bleeding (HR 0.59;  $P=0.01$ ); stopped early due to excess mortality in combination group
- AQUATIC (NEJM 2025): First double-blind, placebo-controlled trial — stopped early for harm from aspirin

CV death/MI/stroke/revasc: HR 1.53 ( $P=0.02$ )

All-cause mortality: HR 1.72 ( $P=0.01$ )

Major bleeding: HR 3.35 ( $P=0.001$ )

Even in high-risk patients with prior stents

Lemesle et al., NEJM 2025 (AQUATIC); Yasuda et al., NEJM 2019 (AFIRE)





# In Stent Thrombosis

Stent thrombosis was extremely rare and not reduced by aspirin.

- AQUATIC: 1 stent thrombosis event in each group
- ADAPT AF-DES (NEJM 2025): NOAC monotherapy vs. NOAC + clopidogrel, 1 year post-DES
- Net adverse clinical events: 9.6% vs. 17.2% (HR 0.54)
- Major/clinically relevant bleeding: 5.2% vs. 13.2% (HR 0.38)
- 2023 ACC/AHA AF Guideline: OAC monotherapy recommended over OAC + antiplatelet in AF + chronic CAD 1 year post-revascularization

Lemesle et al., NEJM 2025 (AQUATIC); Lee et al., NEJM 2025 (ADAPT AF-DES)



# Bottom Line: ASA + DOAC in Stable CAD

- Stop aspirin in patients on DOACs with stable CAD (1 year post-PCI)
- DOAC monotherapy reduces bleeding by ~40–60% without increasing ischemic events
- Even in high-risk patients with prior stents, aspirin added to DOAC causes harm (AQUATIC)
- Stent thrombosis is extremely rare 1 year after DES — and aspirin does not reduce this risk
- Review med lists on admission — stop unnecessary aspirin!



## Case 5

A 78-year-old man with a history of hypertension (on amlodipine 10 mg and lisinopril 20 mg at home) is admitted for elective knee replacement. On postoperative day 1, the nurse pages you because his BP is 186/100 mmHg. He recently worked with PT. He is asymptomatic and appears comfortable.



## Case 5

Which of the following is the MOST appropriate next step?

- A. Administer IV hydralazine 10 mg now and recheck BP in 30 minutes
- B. Administer IV labetalol 20 mg now for rapid BP control
- C. Let him rest, make sure he is getting his home meds, and recheck BP in 30–60 minutes
- D. Order a PRN IV hydralazine protocol for any SBP 180 mmHg
- E. Obtain a stat CT head to rule out hypertensive emergency



## Case 5

Which of the following is the MOST appropriate next step?

- A. Administer IV hydralazine 10 mg now and recheck BP in 30 minutes
- B. Administer IV labetalol 20 mg now for rapid BP control
- C. Let him rest, make sure he is getting his home meds, and recheck BP in 30–60 minutes**
- D. Order a PRN IV hydralazine protocol for any SBP 180 mmHg
- E. Obtain a stat CT head to rule out hypertensive emergency



# Asymptomatic HTN: Don't Just Do Something, Stand There!

- 2024 AHA Scientific Statement: *Treating asymptomatic elevated BP in inpatients should generally be the exception, not the rule*
- First step: Verify BP measurement with proper technique, then address reversible causes (pain, anxiety, sleep deprivation, urinary retention, nausea)
- Check if home medications were held or not restarted (41% of patients on PRN antihypertensives were not receiving their home regimen)
- 30 minutes of rest is as effective as antihypertensive medications for transient elevations
- No evidence that asymptomatic severe hypertension progresses to hypertensive emergency

Bress et al., Hypertension 2024 (AHA Scientific Statement); Anderson et al., JAMA Intern Med 2023



# Risks of Harm

VA target trial emulation study examined intensive BP treatment in hospitalized older adults (VA system):

- Population: Adults  $\geq 65$  years hospitalized for noncardiac reasons with  $\geq 2$  elevated inpatient BPs in first 48 hours
- Intensive treatment ( $\geq 1$  dose new or IV antihypertensive in first 48 hr) occurred in 21% of patients
- Primary composite (death, AKI, stroke, troponin elevation, BNP elevation, ICU transfer) worse with intensive treatment : 8.7% vs. 6.9% (adjusted OR 1.28; 95% CI 1.18–1.39)
- Hypotensive episodes: 14.8% vs. 14.0% (adjusted OR 1.22; 95% CI 1.15–1.30)
- IV antihypertensives associated with even greater harm than oral agents
- Results consistent across all subgroups: age, frailty, outpatient BP control, and history of CVD

Bress et al., Hypertension 2024 (AHA Scientific Statement); Anderson et al., JAMA Intern Med 2023



# Bottom Line: Don't treat asymptomatic HTN

- Treat the cause — pain, anxiety, urinary retention, held home meds
- Restart home antihypertensives first — 41% of patients on PRN IV meds had home meds held
- 30 minutes of rest is as effective as antihypertensive meds for transient elevations
- Intensive inpatient BP treatment causes harm (OR 1.28 for adverse events)
- Do not use PRN IV hydralazine protocols for asymptomatic elevated BP
- Do not treat a single elevated reading — verify with proper technique and repeat after 30 minutes
- Caveat: Persistently elevated BP >180/110 with uncontrolled outpatient BPs and high CVD risk — may warrant escalation of oral treatment with close follow-up





## Case 6

A 72-year-old male is admitted with CAP, PSI class IV, requiring 2 L nasal cannula. His CRP is 85 mg/L. What is the most appropriate approach to corticosteroids?

- A. Start hydrocortisone 200 mg/day — he has severe CAP by PSI class
- B. Start dexamethasone 6 mg daily — the COVID-era regimen now applies to all pneumonia
- C. Withhold corticosteroids — his CRP is below the threshold associated with benefit, and steroids carry risks of hyperglycemia and readmission
- D. Recheck CRP in 24 hours and start steroids if it rises above 200 mg/L
- E. Start methylprednisolone 1 g IV daily for 3 days (pulse dose)



## Case 6

A 72-year-old male is admitted with CAP, PSI class IV, requiring 2 L nasal cannula. His CRP is 85 mg/L. What is the most appropriate approach to corticosteroids?

- A. Start hydrocortisone 200 mg/day — he has severe CAP by PSI class
- B. Start dexamethasone 6 mg daily — the COVID-era regimen applies to all pneumonia
- C. Withhold corticosteroids — his CRP is below the threshold associated with benefit, and steroids carry risks of hyperglycemia and readmission**
- D. Recheck CRP in 24 hours and start steroids if it rises above 200 mg/L
- E. Start methylprednisolone 1 g IV daily for 3 days (pulse dose)



# Use of Corticosteroids in “Severe” CAP

- But what is the definition of “severe” and who actually benefits?
- First trial 2015 RCT (Torres), used CRP >150 mg/L as an enrollment criterion for steroids in severe CAP:
  - Treatment failure: 13% (methylprednisolone) vs. 31% (placebo),  $P=0.02$
  - OR for treatment failure: 0.34 (95% CI 0.14–0.87)
- CAPE COD trial 2023: Landmark French study, hydrocort IV 200mg/d, severe CAP (some adm to ICU), 28d mortality reduction (6.2% vs 11.9%)
- Smit meta-analysis: 8 RCTs, 3,224 patients hospitalized with CAP
  - Pts with severe CAP (PSI IV–V) did NOT benefit more than those with less severe CAP (PSI I–III)
  - But CRP was the key effect modifier:
  - CRP > **204 mg/L**: 30d mortality OR 0.43 (95% CI: 0.25–0.76,  $p=0.026$ ) 30d mortality reduced from 13.0% (placebo) to 6.1% (corticosteroid). CRP<204 mg: OR 0.98 (95% CI: 0.63–1.50) — no statistically significant change in 30d mortality
  - Corticosteroids were associated with hyperglycemia and readmission
  - Conclusion: the inflammatory response, not the PSI score, predicts steroid benefit in CAP



# Bottom Line: CRP, steroids, and CAP

- Check baseline CRP on admission for all hospitalized CAP patients
- CRP  $>\sim 200$  mg/L: mortality benefit from adjunctive corticosteroids
- CRP  $\leq 200$  mg/L: No benefit only harm (hyperglycemia, readmissions)
- CRP is a better predictor of steroid benefit than severity scores (PSI, CURB-65)



## Case 7

A 59-year-old man with no known cardiovascular disease is admitted for pneumonia. A non-contrast, non-ECG-gated chest CT is obtained to evaluate for complications. The radiologist reports bilateral lower lobe consolidation — but also notes "moderate coronary artery calcification." The patient's LDL-C is 142 mg/dL. He is not on a statin. His 10-year ASCVD risk by PCE is 9.2% (borderline-intermediate).



## Case 7

What is the most appropriate next step?

- A. Ignore the finding — non-gated CT cannot reliably assess coronary calcium
- B. Order a dedicated ECG-gated cardiac CT for formal Agatston scoring before making any treatment decisions
- C. Initiate high-intensity statin therapy based on the incidental finding of moderate CAC, without need for dedicated cardiac CT
- D. Refer to cardiology before starting any medications
- E. Recommend lifestyle modifications only and recheck lipids in 6 months



## Case 7

What is the most appropriate next step?

- A. Ignore the finding — non-gated CT cannot reliably assess coronary calcium
- B. Order a dedicated ECG-gated cardiac CT for formal Agatston scoring before making any treatment decisions
- C. Initiate high-intensity statin therapy based on the incidental finding of moderate CAC, without need for dedicated cardiac CT**
- D. Refer to cardiology before starting any medications
- E. Recommend lifestyle modifications only and recheck lipids in 6 months



# Incidental CAC on non-gated chest CT: An independent predictor of CV events and death

Meta-analysis of 51,582 patients (mean follow-up ~4.3 years):

All-cause mortality: RR 2.13 (95% CI 1.57–2.90) with CAC present

Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE): RR 2.91 (95% CI 2.26–3.93) with CAC present

Deep-learning algorithm study (Peng et al., JACC 2023, n=5,678):

DL-CAC  $\geq 100$  vs. CAC = 0:

- All-cause death: HR 1.51 (95% CI 1.28–1.79)
- Death/MI/stroke: HR 1.57 (95% CI 1.33–1.84)
- Death/MI/stroke/revascularization: HR 1.69 (95% CI 1.45–1.98)
- Predictive significance persisted after adjusting for traditional risk factors
- Only 26% of patients with DL-CAC  $\geq 100$  were on statins





# Incidental CAC: ACC/AHA Guidelines

- Incidental CAC on routine non-gated chest CT is common but underreported
- Powerful, independent predictor of CV events and death — even after adjusting for traditional risk factors
- 2026 ACC/AHA Guidelines: Moderate/severe incidental CAC → high-intensity statin (LDL-C goal 70); mild CAC → moderate-intensity statin (LDL-C goal 100)
- Dedicated gated CT is NOT required before starting therapy for moderate/severe incidental CAC
- AI algorithms can now automate CAC scoring on non-gated CT with near-perfect accuracy

**Bottom Line:** Read the full CT report, if CAC present start statin, communicate in the discharge summary, and ensure outpatient follow-up with PCP or cardiology



# Take Home Messages

- Balanced crystalloids over normal saline for sepsis
- Start SGLT2 inhibitors in-hospital for acute HF
- Hold GLP-1 RAs before elective surgery
- Stop aspirin in patients on DOACs with stable CAD
- Don't treat asymptomatic inpatient hypertension
- In patients hospitalized with CAP, check CRP to guide corticosteroid use
- Start statin if incidental CAC seen on chest CT



# MOC REFLECTIVE STATEMENT (BRIEF TAKE HOME NOTES FOR REFERENCE)

The proper resuscitation fluid used in sepsis matters, and the evidence favors balanced crystalloids such as lactated ringers over other fluid types. We can use CRP to guide decisions about corticosteroids in patients with severe CAP.

As hospitalists we should try to initiate GDMT for heart failure patients during the admission, particularly SGLT-2 inhibitors. We should also be cautious about timing when surgery is planned for patients on GLP1 receptor agonists. We should look for patients with stable CAD who are on a DOAC and ASA and stop the ASA when appropriate in collaboration with their outpatient providers, and if we identify incidental coronary calcium on CT we should start a statin and communicate with the outpatient team.

Please avoid treating asymptomatic HTN, particularly with IV medications!



# REFERENCES

- 1.Prescott HC, Antonelli M, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2026. *Crit Care Med*. 2026;54(4):725-812. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000007075
- 2.Meyer NJ, Prescott HC. Sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med*. 2024;391(22):2133-2146. doi:10.1056/NEJMra2403213
- 3.Voors AA, Angermann CE, Teerlink JR, et al. The SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin in patients hospitalized for acute heart failure: a multinational randomized trial. *Nat Med*. 2022;28(3):568-574. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01659-1
- 4.Hollenberg SM, Stevenson LW, Ahmad T, et al. 2024 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on clinical assessment, management, and trajectory of patients hospitalized with heart failure: focused update. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2024;84(13):1241-1267. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2024.06.002
- 5.Carson JL, Stanworth SJ, Guyatt G, et al. Red blood cell transfusion: 2023 AABB international guidelines. *JAMA*. 2023;330(19):1892-1902. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.12914
- 6.Carson JL, Stanworth SJ, Dennis JA, et al. Transfusion thresholds and other strategies for guiding red blood cell transfusion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2025;10:CD002042. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002042.pub6
- 7.Chaudhuri D, Nei AM, Rochwerg B, et al. 2024 focused update: guidelines on use of corticosteroids in sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and community-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care Med*. 2024;52(5):e219-e233. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000006172
- 8.Pirracchio R, Venkatesh B, Legrand M. Low-dose corticosteroids for critically ill adults with severe pulmonary infections: a review. *JAMA*. 2024;332(4):318-328. doi:10.1001/jama.2024.6096
- 9.American Society of Anesthesiologists. Consensus-based guidance on preoperative management of patients (adults and children) on glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists. Published June 29, 2023. Updated 2024.
- 10.Nadolsky K, Garvey WT, Agarwal M, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinology consensus statement: algorithm for the evaluation and treatment of adults with obesity/adiposity-based chronic disease — 2025 update. *Endocr Pract*. 2025;31(11):1351-1394. doi:10.1016/j.eprac.2025.07.017
- 11.Lemesle G, Music I, et al. Aspirin or placebo in chronic coronary syndrome patients receiving an oral anticoagulant: the AQUATIC randomized clinical trial. *N Engl J Med*. 2025. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2501862
- 12.Yasuda S, Kaikita K, Akao M, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(12):1103-1113. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1904143



# REFERENCES cont.

13. Lee OH, Kim JS, Her AY, et al. NOAC monotherapy versus NOAC plus clopidogrel in patients with atrial fibrillation and drug-eluting stents (ADAPT AF-DES). *N Engl J Med*. 2025. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2503718
14. Bress AP, Anderson TS, Engel KG, et al. Management of elevated blood pressure in the acutely hospitalized adult patient: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*. 2024;81(12):e145–e162. doi:10.1161/HYP.0000000000000237
15. Anderson TS, Jing B, Wray CM, et al. Intensive blood pressure treatment for hospitalized older adults: a target trial emulation. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2023;183(12):1405–1414. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2023.5607
16. Smit JM, van der Zee PA, Stoof SCM, et al. Predicting benefit from adjuvant therapy with corticosteroids in community-acquired pneumonia: a data-driven analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2025;13(3):221–233. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(24)00405-3
17. Dequin PF, Meziani F, Quenot JP, et al. Hydrocortisone in severe community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;388(21):1931–1941. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2215145
18. Torres A, Sibila O, Ferrer M, et al. Effect of corticosteroids on treatment failure among hospitalized patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia and high inflammatory response: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2015;313(7):677–686. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.88
19. Foraker R, Sperling L, Bratzke L, et al. Opportunistic detection of coronary artery calcium on noncardiac chest computed tomography: an emerging tool for cardiovascular disease prevention: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2025;152(19):e391–e401. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000001382
20. Blumenthal RS, Morris PB, Gaudino M, et al. 2026 ACC/AHA/AACVPR/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of dyslipidemia: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2026. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2025.11.016
21. Osborne-Grinter M, Ali A, Williams MC. Prevalence and clinical implications of coronary artery calcium scoring on non-gated thoracic computed tomography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol*. 2024;34(7):4459–4474. doi:10.1007/s00330-023-10439-z
22. Peng AW, Dudum R, Jain SS, et al. Association of coronary artery calcium detected by routine ungated CT imaging with cardiovascular outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2023;82(12):1192–1202. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2023.06.040

